

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,419	08/19/2003	James G.P. Dehlsen	D - 1590	6960	
49147 7	590 01/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OWEN L. LAMB			CANFIELD, ROBERT		
P.O. BOX 386 PRESCOTT, AZ 86302-0386			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	3635		
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				100		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/644,419	DEHLSEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert J Canfield	3635			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress		
THE - Extra after - If th - If N - Fail	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNICATION COMMU	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (repriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this com IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	08 November 2004.				
		This action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13,17-20 and 22-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8,9,18 and 19 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 3,4,7,10-13,17,20 and 22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTC	) <b>-152</b> .		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National S	tage		
Attachmer	nt(e)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)			
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Professional Date	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Application/Control Number: 10/644,419

Art Unit: 3635

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 11/08/04. Claims 1-13, 17-20 and 22-24 are pending. Claims 14-16 and 21 have been canceled.

Page 2

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 3, 4, 7, 12, 17, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

The term "heavy" in claims 3, 7, 12, 17 and 22 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "heavy" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

The preamble of claim 12 fails to agree with that of claim 10 from which it depends rendering the claim indefinite.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 1,634,595 to Price.

Art Unit: 3635

The lower section is hinged to a base at 23. The group of sections raised to the vertical and then the upper sections are further vertically extended. The sections are then secured in the vertical position.

6. Claims 12 and 17 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 1,634,595 to Price.

Price fails to provide that a heavy load is installed on the upper section of his tower.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art that a load could have been placed upon the tower of Price as it is well known in the art to attached loads such as telecommunications equipment at the top of the extended masts/towers.

The term "heavy" is a relative term which has not been adequately defined.

- 7. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 18, and 19 are allowed.
- 8. Claim 22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 3, 4, 7, and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,419

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been found persuasive. The argument with respect to claim 10, that Anderson fails to provide upper and lower lift points is not found persuasive as any point may be considered a lift point. However, claim 10 is no longer rejected under Anderson because upon further consideration Anderson is not capable of having both sections vertical with the top of the upper section located near the base as the upper section is longer that the lower section.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J Canfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Robert J Canfield **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3635